

School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2008-09 Published During 2009-10

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) [SARC](#) Web page. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides the schools contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Solano County Special Education	District Name	Solano County Office of Education
Street	Golden Hills Education Center	Phone Number	707-399-4400
City, State, Zip	Fairfield, CA 94533	Web Site	www.solanocoe.net
Phone Number	707-399-4866	Superintendent	Dee Alarcón
Principal	Marsha Ludwig	E-mail Address	dalarcon@solanocoe.net
E-mail Address	mludwig@solanocoe.net	CDS Code	48- 10488- 6069538

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

The Solano County Office of Education is committed to ensuring and improving the quality of learning for all students in Solano County by providing quality educational services to students, and by responding to the changing needs of school districts with support, collaboration, and leadership. The mission of the Special Education Department is to provide all students and their families with the support, education, and advocacy needed to reach their greatest potential and participate fully in the community.

The County Office operates special education programs as a member of the five district Solano County Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA). The County Office of Education, Special Education Programs serves students with intensive educational needs who have been referred by their local school districts. The districts served include: Benicia, Dixon, Fairfield-Suisun, Travis,

and Vacaville. Our programs offer services from birth to age 22. We operate two centers that primarily serve infants and preschoolers. Our school-age programs are on 29 general education campuses located in the districts in which we work.

SCOE supports the right of all students with disabilities to a free and appropriate education in the least restrictive environment. The SCOE offers the following services: special day classes, speech and language, assistive technology, adapted physical education, behavior specialist, deaf and hard of hearing, occupational therapy, physical therapy, vocational training, and WorkAbility. The SCOE has developed innovative options for students in preschool programs and expanded services for young children with autism. Children birth to three with disabilities including low-incidence disabilities are also served through in-home and school-based activities in our Me Too Program.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

The Special Education Department recognizes the responsibility of the school to involve the parent(s) in all aspects of the special education process as legally required. The procedures for timely notification, parental input and support are set in the legally mandated process from the beginning referral to the implementation of the Individual Education Plan. In addition to meeting our legal obligations, we believe that the needs of families and students should be at the center of our efforts. Our guiding principles include these elements:

- Include families as partners
- Maximize family involvement
- Treat families with respect, compassion, and understanding
- Recognize and utilize their knowledge, experience, and skills
- Honor their contributions, dreams, advocacy, culture, and commitment to their children's learning
- Provide them with a useful understanding of the system

Strategies to involve families include:

- Parent/teacher conferences
- Parent interview prior to IEP meetings
- Individual Education Plan meetings
- Back-to-school nights
- Transition planning nights sponsored by our Transition Services Department
- Transition planning between programs and age levels
- Parent tours of potential school sites
- Quarterly reporting of benchmark achievement
- Translation services

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	19
Grade 1	22
Grade 2	21
Grade 3	18
Grade 4	17
Grade 5	16
Grade 6	17
Grade 7	21
Grade 8	26
Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 9	13
Grade 10	25
Grade 11	19
Grade 12	8

Ungraded Secondary	0
Total Enrollment	242

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	11.16 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	%
Asian	4.13 %
Filipino	8.26 %
Hispanic or Latino	23.55 %
Pacific Islander	1.65 %
White (not Hispanic)	38.43 %
Multiple or No Response	12.81 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	40.00 %
English Learners	13.00 %
Students with Disabilities	99.00 %

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

The elements of the plan include disaster response, supervision, and expulsion policies, sexual harassment, safe and orderly entry and departure, rules and procedures on school discipline, and crime reporting. Many of our classes are housed on district campuses and in those cases we follow the school safety plans for those sites. A Safety Committee meets once a month.

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Suspensions			.006	22.6	22.7	22.4
Expulsions			0	0.0	0.0	0.0

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

The Solano County Office of Education provides instructional services in a wide variety of school settings. The SCOE maintains two centers primarily for preschool students that include typically developing peers. Classrooms are also maintained at over 30 school sites throughout the County to meet the needs of students who benefit from being on an integrated site. The facilities on school sites are maintained by both the district and SCOE. The facilities are maintained in a safe condition and there are

procedures in place to quickly address health and safety issues once they have been identified.

All Interim Evaluation Instruments for Special Education facilities are on file in the Facilities Department. Please contact the Director of Facilities/Maintenance and Transportation at (707) 399-4864.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	N/A	x			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	N/A	x			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	N/A	x			
Electrical: Electrical	N/A	x			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	N/A	x			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	N/A	x			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	N/A	x			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	N/A	x			
Overall Rating	good				

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Teachers	School			District
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2008-09
With Full Credential	35	40	40	51
Without Full Credential	11	7	7	7
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	LEA Provided	LEA Provided	LEA Provided	N/A

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	LEA Provided	LEA Provided	LEA Provided
Total Teacher Misassignments	LEA Provided	LEA Provided	LEA Provided
Vacant Teacher Positions	LEA Provided	LEA Provided	LEA Provided

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE [Improving Teacher and Principal Quality](#) Web page.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	86.0	14.0
All Schools in District	86.9	13.1
High-Poverty Schools in District	85.7	14.3
Low-Poverty Schools in District	86.0	14.0

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Psychologist	3.0	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	3.0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	6.6	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.0	N/A
Other	6.4	

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Our classrooms are well equipped to meet the individual needs of students. The materials that are necessary to meet the instructional requirements of each student's IEP are always made available. Most of the students have a functionally-based program based on the core curriculum, and as such require individualized and highly specialized instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	See narrative above	See narrative above

Mathematics	See narrative above	See narrative above
Science	See narrative above	See narrative above
History-Social Science	See narrative above	See narrative above
Foreign Language	See narrative above	See narrative above
Health	See narrative above	See narrative above
Visual and Performing Arts	See narrative above	See narrative above
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	See narrative above	See narrative above

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE [Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending](#) Web page and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	LEA Provided	LEA Provided	LEA Provided	LEA Provided
District	N/A	N/A	LEA Provided	\$58,807
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	LEA Provided	LEA Provided
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,512	\$65,425
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	LEA Provided	LEA Provided

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

Narrative LEA Provided

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$38,430	\$39,954
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$54,970	
Highest Teacher Salary	\$73,767	\$77,661
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$102,777	
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$102,777	

Average Principal Salary (High) \$102,777		
Superintendent Salary \$		
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries 13%		%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries 4%		%

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE [Standardized Testing and Reporting \(STAR\) Results](#) Web site. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the [Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide](#). Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	7	41	61	4	25	38	43	46	50
Mathematics	0	36	41	5	30	35	40	43	46
Science	*	*	51	5	2	30	38	46	50
History-Social Science	*	*	*	3	2	4	33	36	41

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	41	24	*	
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	*	*	*	*

Filipino	53	47	*	
Hispanic or Latino	71	50	57	
Pacific Islander	*	*	*	
White (not Hispanic)	64	39	56	*
Male	61	42	64	*
Female	62	40	41	*
Economically Disadvantaged	*	39	45	
English Learners	73	55	*	
Students with Disabilities	61	41	51	*
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California High School Exit Examination Results

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics in order to compute Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) designations as required by the federal NCLB Act of 2001. Detailed information regarding CAHSEE results can be found at the CDE [California High School Exit Examination \(CAHSEE\)](#) Web site. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level in ELA and mathematics.

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts			*			25.0			52.0
Mathematics			*			8.3			53.3

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California High School Exit Examination Results by Performance Level for Student Groups – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at each performance level in English language-arts and mathematics for the most recent testing period.

Group	English			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*
Male	*	*	*	*	*	*
Female	*	*	*	*	*	*
African American	*	*	*	*	*	*

American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*	*	*	*
Filipino	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	*	*
White (not Hispanic)	*	*	*	*	*	*
English Learners	*	*	*	*	*	*
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	*	*	*	*	*	*
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	*	*	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	*	*	*

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2008-09)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE [Physical Fitness Testing \(PFT\)](#) Web page. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Healthy Fitness Zones		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5			
7			
9			

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE [Academic Performance Index \(API\)](#) Web page.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from one to ten. A statewide rank of one means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of ten means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of one means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of ten means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2006	2007	2008
Statewide	C	C	C
Similar Schools	C	C	C

- "N/A"** means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.
- "B"** means this is either an LEA or an Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) school. Schools participating in the ASAM do not currently receive growth, target information, or statewide or similar schools rankings on this report in recognition of their markedly different educational missions and populations served. ASAM schools are covered under the Alternative Accountability system as required by Education Code Section 52052 and not the API accountability system. However, API information is needed to comply with the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) law. Growth, target and rank information are not applicable to LEAs.
- "C"** means this is a special education school. Statewide and similar schools ranks are not applicable to special education schools.
- " * "** means this API is calculated for a small school or a small LEA, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid STAR Program test scores included in the API. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted. Similar schools ranks are not calculated for small schools.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009
All Students at the School	5	5	100	718
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)				722
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				710
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				718

- "N/A"** means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.
- "**"** means this API is calculated for a small school, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program test scores included in the API. The API is asterisked if the school was small either in 2008 or 2009. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted.

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
 Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
 API as an additional indicator
 Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\) Web page](#).

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	No	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	No	No
Participation Rate - Mathematics	No	No
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	No	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	No	No

"Yes"

Met 2009 AYP Criteria

"No"

Did not Meet 2009 AYP Criteria

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\) Web page](#).

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2009-2010
Year in Program Improvement		Year 1
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements please visit the [General Admissions Information](#) Web page (Outside Source).

California State University

Admission requirements for the California State University (CSU) use three factors to determine eligibility. They are specific high school courses; grades in specified courses and test scores; and graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses utilize local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For general admissions requirements please visit the [Undergraduate Admission & Requirements](#) Web page (Outside Source).

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

This table displays the school's one-year dropout and graduation rates for the most recent three-year period for which data are available. For comparison purposes, data are also provided at the district and state levels. Detailed information about dropout rates and graduation rates can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Dropout Rate (1-year)		3.2	1.5		93.6	68.8	3.5	4.4	3.9
Graduation Rate	84.6	79.3	74.6	84.6	79.3	74.6	83.4	80.6	80.2

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

Students in California public schools must pass both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE to receive a high school diploma. For students who began the 2008-09 school year in grade twelve this table displays by student group the percent who met all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion.

Solano County Office of Education deaf and hard-of-hearing high school students in the Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District (FSUSD) who fulfill graduation requirements and pass the California High School Exit Examination are awarded a high school diploma from FSUSD.

Group	Graduating Class of 2009		
	School	District	State
All Students	See narrative above	LEA Provided	N/A
African American	See narrative above	LEA Provided	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	See narrative above	LEA Provided	N/A
Asian	See narrative above	LEA Provided	N/A
Filipino	See narrative above	LEA Provided	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	See narrative above	LEA Provided	N/A
Pacific Islander	See narrative above	LEA Provided	N/A
White (not Hispanic)	See narrative above	LEA Provided	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	See narrative above	LEA Provided	N/A
English Learners	See narrative above	LEA Provided	N/A
Students with Disabilities	See narrative above	LEA Provided	N/A

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the degree to which pupils are prepared to enter the workforce, including a list of career technical education (CTE) programs offered at the school.

Beginning at age 16, each of our students has an Individual Transition Plan (ITP) developed as part of their IEP. The ITP is a written plan that sets goals, including employment goals, for each student's life beyond school. Most of our students are clients of North Bay Regional Center, and that agency is involved in future planning as well. We also use WorkAbility I to support on-the-job training for many of our students. The Transition Partnership Project with the Department of Rehabilitation is another important partner in planning for a student's vocational future.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays information about participation in the school's CTE programs.

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of the school's pupils participating in CTE	NA
Percent of the school's pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	NA
Percent of school's CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	NA

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission (School Year 2007-08)

This table displays, for the most recent year, two measures related to the school's courses that are required for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) admission. Detailed information about student enrollment in, and completion, of courses required for UC/CSU admission can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Teachers and paraeducators receive a full day of required in-service each year. Teachers are able to utilize up to two staff development buy-back days for approved staff development activities. In addition, staff can apply for training specific to their needs and these activities, if approved, are supported by the special education budget. Teachers also attend a monthly in-service that addresses instruction and program improvement.

XIII. National Assessment of Educational Progress

National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities and English language learners is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the [National Assessment of Educational Progress](#) Web page (Outside Source).

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned

with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the [CDE National Assessment of Educational Progress \(NAEP\) Web page](#).

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight

Subject and Grade Level	Average Scale Score		State Percent at Achievement Level		
	State	National	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Reading 2007, Grade 4	209	220	30	18	5
Reading 2007, Grade 8	251	261	41	20	2
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	232	239	41	25	5
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	270	282	36	18	5

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	State Participation Rate		National Participation Rate	
	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners
Reading 2007, Grade 4	74	93	65	80
Reading 2007, Grade 8	78	92	66	77
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	79	96	84	94
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	85	96	78	92